



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,227	12/31/2003	Stanislav Sosnovsky	EMC03-22(03111)	6637

58404 7590 04/25/2008

BARRY W. CHAPIN  
CHAPIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLC  
WESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK  
1700 WEST PARK DRIVE, SUITE 280  
WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581

EXAMINER
----------

PRICE, NATHAN E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2194

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/25/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/750,227	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SOSNOVSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NATHAN PRICE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher J. Lutz (Reg. No. 44,883). (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) NATHAN PRICE. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Frank et al. (2004/0250254 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claims 1, 10 and 11 (similar to claim 1 submitted 27 February 2008). Examiner indicated that the event specific features of the claims were considered to be taught by Frank and that a possible way to distinguish over the specific event types of Frank might be to indicate the events are programmer defined rather than defined by the system.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Meng-Ai An/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required